

# THE GREENS ARE AT IT AGAIN

*We do not inherit the earth from our ancestors.  
We borrow it from our children.*

Native American Proverb

A paper prepared by Robert Harris, Doctor of Chiropractic.  
Available as a free download on [www.drrobertharrisbooks.com](http://www.drrobertharrisbooks.com)  
February 28, 2022. All rights reserved.

---

I doubt there is anybody who cares more about the environment, the future of this planet, and the life it supports, than do I.

I have for many years had a keen interest in cosmology that, I believe, gives me a broader understanding about what is happening on or to our planet, which certainly equips me with more informative than just looking out the window to see if it's raining. I also believe that if some of our so-called experts and scientists adopted a broader view, their opinions and recommendations would be very different to what they are. Yet, because of their so-called status, we listen to them.

I consider myself to be a realist who lives in the here and now with an eye to the future—not my future necessarily, but for those that have and will come behind me.

What I can't fathom are the thinking processes of those who are active supporters, and especially those who are members of the Green Political Party. I'm not sure exactly what it is like in other countries, but in Australia the Greens, in my opinion, are doing more damage than they are doing good—if at all—and we are allowing them to set agendas we are all forced to accept.

Of great concern to me is that as a political party, the Greens are gathering momentum and are now number three on the list behind Labor (Democrats) and Liberal (Republicans), the latter being in government at this moment. However, this statistic has to be taken in context. We have a number of small parties, often started by disgruntled politicians, that do little more than take up space on the voting card. Notwithstanding, the Green's ranks are swelling, more likely with people who are disenchanted by the performance of the major parties who see the Greens as a safe alternative.

Let's look at just a few of the Green's decisions to make Australia, in their minds, a better place. Australia is very bushfire prone, which is exacerbated by the amount of ground fuel (tinder), the accumulation of falling branches, leaves, tree bark, and coarse grass growth. Before white man ever landed on its beaches, the Australian aborigines knew that systematic burning-off of this unwanted undergrowth was the only way to keep bushfires to a minimum, both in frequency and intensity. They would systematically burn off small parcels of tinder, forming a patchwork quilt of cleared undergrowth.

They controlled the blaze so that it did not reach up into the treetops, thereby preserving the habitat of the tree-living Koalas and birds. Then along came the Greens, declaring that burning off was adding to the greenhouse gas effect, and had to be stopped. This meant that tinder may accumulate over a number of years, so that when it is ignited it immediately becomes an inferno, often cutting off the escape route for land-dwelling animals, and people, and incinerating the tree dwelling critters.

On 8 January 2020, Professor Chris Dickman, a recognised expert from the University of Sydney's Faculty of Science in the ecology, conservation and management of Australian mammals, estimated that more than one billion animals nationally had so far been killed in the bushfires, with more than 800 million of those in my home state of New South Wales. His calculations were deliberately conservative, as the university's statement notes, 'the true mortality is therefore likely to be substantially higher than those estimated'.

Other reports suggest that during one spate of bushfires in New South Wales, greater than 60,000 Koalas died, an enormous number when considering the species is already heading for the endangered species list.

In my over eighty years of living in Australia I have only seen two koalas in the wild, and I have spent considerable time in the bush. They were a mother with an infant on her back in a tree on our small semi-rural property. No shortage of Kangaroos, though. The Kangaroos really know how to breed. It is not unusual for a female Kangaroo to be pregnant, have a Joey in her pouch, and one at her heel hopping along behind her. They also have the ability to put pregnancy on hold if food is short, and restart it at will when conditions improve. They are swift of foot and mostly avoid the carnage of bushfires, unlike Koalas that are even slower than the Giant Panda in China. The Bible says that God moves in mysterious ways. So too, apparently, does Mother Nature.

The majority of Australia's natural forest growth are eucalypts, which have long bare trunks with branches and leaves that appear about one-third of the way up. Eucalypt leaves have a high oil content that burns with the intensity of a flame thrower; so, not only are we putting Koalas and other species on the endangered list, with the treetops burning the amount of carbon dioxide being released into the atmosphere is many times that of what it would have been under controlled back-burning.

In wilderness areas, the members of our volunteer bush fighting units, with the help of government sponsored emergency service units, cut fire trails which allow the firefighters access to the source of a bush fire. If they can't get to it, they can't extinguish it!

The Green's ban extends to not clearing fallen trees off these emergency trails, which inhibits the passage of firefighters. The real tragedy is that the fallen trees not only impede access, they can also block the firefighters escape route if a fire should turn and bear down on them.

For those who have never experienced the firestorms we have in Australia, with the right wind and direction it can outrun a man, and roar like a steam railway locomotive. So now we are putting the lives of these brave souls who work at the face of the fire, at risk, while the Greenies sit comfortably in their city homes, away from the danger of that which they are the architects.

Then there is the question of coal-fired electricity generating plants. The Greens are forcing the closure of the remaining few still operational facilities in Australia, with not a clue as to how we will introduce and maintain future production. Wind turbines are proving to be unreliable and are adding more to the destruction of this planet than they are preserving it. The same goes for solar panels. I have them on my roof; but I am already on my second system in just on ten years. Good domestically, but a dead loss commercially.

Australia produces the cleanest coal in the world in terms of releasing unwanted greenhouse gases into our atmosphere. With huge advances in technology, we are now able to produce electricity far cheaper, using less water, and with less greenhouse gas emissions, than ever before. While we are being forced to close our remaining couple of plants, thereby threatening to plunge a large portion of our homes and industries into darkness, China and India are in the process of building hundreds more. Maybe we could export our Greenies over there when they may at least do some good?

I agree that we have to move away from fossil fuels for environmental reasons, but also because we are going to run out of these natural resources and should have an alternate agenda before that happens. So, what would be a good alternative to coal-fired power generators? And the answer is 'nuclear', but the Greens oppose that too.

Again, we have come a long way from the nuclear technology used in Chernobyl in Russia, and Three Mile Creek in the United States. We now have totally self-enclosed modular units that don't even require circulating water to cool the reactor.

They operate on uranium that has a much lower enrichment requirement, which means residual radiation from spent rod residue is of much lower intensity, that can be more easily, and more safely disposed of. Like multiple batteries in a flashlight, these modules can be transported and added to, or taken from, multiple module configurations. At this time the nuclear production of electricity is offering us the cheapest, safest and most reliable choice. But, it doesn't have to be forever, either.

What we should be doing is concentrating on developing thermal electricity production. Below the earth's crust, and between it and the outer core, is water that is extremely hot. We have the technology that will allow us to tap that water, which will come to the surface under its own pressure. No pumps required.

When hot liquid is exposed to lessening pressure, it will become hotter, and in this case will arrive at the surface as super-heated steam. This could then be directed to drive massive steam turbines. Once through the turbine, it can be condensed back into its original form, water, and pumped back below the earth's crust. This would produce electricity 24-hours a day, irrespective of weather conditions. There will be nothing released into the atmosphere, and as nothing is being lost, it will remain a perpetual supply of energy for the turbines, probably for another four billion years.

And why aren't the Greens backing this technology? Probably for several reasons—one being that their modus operandi is to add additional obstacles, while never appearing to promote anything that would be a sensible, workable alternative. Perhaps their minds are not programmed to understand innovation.

A man who was a previous leader of the Green Party at the Federal level in Australia, whose name, ironically, is Bob Brown, lives on the island state of Tasmania, off the coast of Victoria in the south. While in politics, he championed the installation of wind turbines, but when it was suggested they be installed off the coast of Tasmania, where the wind is very strong, he opposed it. His reason was the turbines would kill endangered bird species, as they are doing in spades in the United States.

Provided the decisions Greens make don't inconvenience them personally, it's okay. I wonder if there will be a change of heart when they are plunged into darkness? But that would require connecting the dots—nah, it ain't gonna happen. It will be the government's fault.

In early 2022, Sky News host Gary Hardgrave declared that Australia needs to get rid of 'woke internationalist green laws' which are creating misery, killing Australians and weakening national sovereignty. He said:

*We're being denied economic doing, not just planning, all because of these militant greens and these international agenda laws, and because of the delays or the denial from state governments and their militant green bureaucrats, they're over-processing every idea.*

There are many more examples, but I'm sure you have the drift by now. What perplexes me most is that Australia is a democracy, or used to be, in which the majority rule. Why then are we even listening to these people who are a political minority? If they just once came up with a workable solution to just one of the problems facing us, that may help change my mind, but I'm not holding my breath. In the meantime, they are putting lives and the economy at risk by their very presence, and are standing in the way of the implementation of advancing science, and workable solutions.

As part of his 1863 address to the nation at Gettysburg in the state of Pennsylvania in the United States, the site where 50,000 troops were either killed or wounded in just three days of battle during the Civil War, President Abraham Lincoln said:

*This nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom -- and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth.*

Noble words indeed, but he neglected to prefix 'government' with 'sensible'.

A word of advice to the Greens—don't pull something down if you are not in the position to replace it with a better working model. Oh, and try thinking things through to a logical conclusion—which is a polite way of saying...*Put your minds in gear before you put your mouths in motion.*

*Two things are infinite: the universe and human stupidity;  
and I'm not sure about the universe.*

Albert Einstein